



Confirmation No. 6416

Art Unit:

To be Assigned

Atty. Docket: 1875.1270001

Filed: December 28, 2001

In re application of:

Siavash Fallahi et al.

Appl. No. 10/028,781

For:

IP Telephone System

Petition to Make Special Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d)

Attention: Group 2800

Special Program Examiner

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is a petition to the Assistant Commissioner to make the above-ide sified patent application special. The grounds and conditions for granting this application special status are found in M.P.E.P. § 708.02 VIII entitled "Special Examining Procedure for Certain New Applications - Accelerated Examination." The Petition Fee required pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 is enclosed.

As provided for in M.P.E.P. § 708.02 VIII, Applicants agree to the special examining procedure detailed therein. This Petition is divided into the following sections:

- I. A statement that the claims are directed to a single invention.
- II. A description of a pre-examination search performed in connection with this application.
- III. A list of the documents uncovered by the pre-examination search and deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims.

09/16/2002 HVUONG1 00000047 10028781

- IV. A detailed discussion of the documents, pointing out, with the particularity required by 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.111(b) and 1.111(c), how the claimed subject matter is distinguishable over the documents.
- V. Petition Fee.
- VI. Conclusion.

I. The Claims Are Directed To a Single Invention

Claims 1-33 are pending in the application, and it is believed that these claims are directed to a single invention.

II. Scope and Results of a Pre-examination Search

The subject matter of the above-identified application relates to a communications device (e.g. Internet Protocol communication device). Applicants have not received an Office Action concerning the examination of the present application. A search was conducted by the International Searching Authority of the European Patent Office (EPO) in a counterpart international application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT), Intl. and given Appl. No. PCT/US01/50437. The claims in the PCT application are substantially identical to the pending claims in the present application. A copy of the International Search Report (PCT Form 210) is attached to this Petition. The search was conducted in the following field(s): IPC 7 H04M.(See, section B of the International Search Report) As stated in M.P.E.P. § 708.02: VIII (C), a search made by a foreign patent office satisfies the pre-examination search requirement. Therefore, the Applicants assert that this search

satisfies the pre-examination search requirement because it was performed by the European Patent Office in support of a corresponding PCT application with identical claims.

III. List of Documents Uncovered by the Pre-examination Search

The documents identified in the International Search Report are listed below, and copies of these documents are submitted herewith. All of these documents were also submitted in an Information Disclosure Statement for the present application that was filed on July 31, 2002. These documents are deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims that are known by the Applicants.

Applicants do not waive any rights to appropriate action to establish patentability over any of the listed documents should they be applied as references against the claims of the present application.

The International Search Report listed the following documents (References 1 to 4):

a) Foreign Patent Documents

- 1. WO 98/37665
- 2. WO 00/67452

b) Other Information and Publications

- 3. Hafer, R., "Designing Telephone-Interface Circuitry With Solid-State Relays," *Electronic Design*, 38:91-93 and 95-96, Penton Publishing, (December 1990)
- Foo, S., et al., "A Telephone Adapter For Internet Telephone Systems," Microprocessors and Microsystems, 21:213-221, IPC Business Press Ltd., (December 1997)

IV. **Detailed Discussion of the Documents**

The documents uncovered by the pre-examination search, and most closely related to the subject matter of the claims 1-33 are discussed below.

Reference 1: WO 98/37665

Reference 1 appears to be related to an Internet switch box that connects between a telephone set and a public switched telephone network (PSTN) line, where the PSTN can be used for telephone conversations and for connection to an Internet service provider (ISP). The Internet switch box contains hardware and software for establishing a connection to an ISP and for Internet telephony. The switch box is capable switching users engaged in telephone conversation over the PSTN to Internet telephony that is conducted via the ISP. (Abstract)

However, reference 1 does not teach or suggest a communications device as recited in Applicants' claims. Considering claim 1, for example, Reference 1 does not teach or suggest a relay that is disposed on a substrate, and capable of being closed when zero volts is applied. Furthermore, reference 1 also does not teach a switchable termination resistor, disposed on the substrate, having an impedance capable of providing a termination for an external circuit coupled to the substrate.

Reference 2: WO 00/67452

Reference 2 appears to be related to a telephony adapter device connected to a public telephone network, a conventional telephone, and a computer, which allows the conventional telephone to be used for both traditional telephony and computer network telephony. The adapter device includes a first connector connected to the telephone, a second connector connected to the public telephone network, and a third connector connected to the computer. The adapter device includes a signal processing circuitry electrically connected to the third connector. The adapter includes a separate switch connected to the first and second connectors and the signal processing circuitry. The switch electrically connects the first connector to the second connector in a first position. The switch electrically connects the first connector to the signal processing circuitry in a second position. (Abstract)

However, reference 2 does not teach or suggest a communications device as recited in Applicants' claims. Considering claim 1, for example, Reference 2 does not teach or suggest a relay that is disposed on a substrate, and capable of being closed when zero volts is applied.

Reference 3: Hafer, R., "Designing Telephone-Interface Circuitry With Solid-State Relays," *Electronic Design*, 38:91-93 and 95-96, Penton Publishing, (December 1990).

Reference 3 appears to be related to solid state relays ("SSR") used in telephone interface circuitry. Reference 3 explores various properties, applications, and design consideration for SSRs. For example, SSRs avoid contact bounce problems, arching, acoustic noise, and electromagnetic interference, which are all problems with mechanical switches.(Reference 3, page 91, col. 1) However, SSR designs require overvoltage protection to protect them from potential lighting damage, and are susceptible to overcurrent stresses. (Reference 3, page 91, col. 1)

However, Reference 3 does not teach or suggest a communications device as recited in Applicants' claims. Considering claim 1, for example, Reference 3 does not teach or

suggest a relay that is disposed on a substrate, and capable of being closed when zero volts is applied. Furthermore, Reference 3 also does not teach a switchable termination resistor, disposed on the substrate, having an impedance capable of providing a termination for an external circuit coupled to the substrate.

Reference 4: Foo, S., et al., "A Telephone Adapter For Internet Telephone Systems," Microprocessors and Microsystems, 21:213-221, IPC Business Press Ltd., (December 1997)

Reference 4 appears to be related to an Internet telephone adapter ("ITA") device that is able to provide an interface between a conventional telephone and a host computer in order to enable Internet Telephony. The ITA enables users to dial an Internet telephone number to make a connection, be notified of an incoming call through ringing the telephone set, and carrying out the conversation using a conventional telephone handset. (Abstract)

However, Reference 4 does not teach or suggest a communications device as recited in Applicants' claims. Considering claim 1, for example, Reference 4 does not teach or suggest a relay that is disposed on a substrate, and capable of being closed when zero volts is applied. Furthermore, Reference 4 also does not teach a switchable termination resistor, disposed on a substrate, having an impedance capable of providing a termination for an external circuit coupled to the substrate.

V. Petition Fee

In accordance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d), Applicants submit herewith the Petition Fee of \$130.00 as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.17. A check in that amount is enclosed.

- 7 -

Siavash Fallahi et al. Appl. No. 10/028,781

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee

deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

VI. Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 and

M.P.E.P. § 708.02 pertaining to special status have been satisfied. Accordingly, it is

respectfully requested that this Petition be granted.

This statement should not be construed as a representation that more material

information, than that presented herein, does not exist. Furthermore, the submission of this

material is not intended to displace the Examiner's professional ability and duty to search.

Indeed, the Examiner is specifically requested not to rely solely on the materials submitted

herewith. The Examiner is requested to conduct an independent and thorough review of the

documents, and to form independent opinions as to their significance.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 44,757

Date

1100 Nam Vauls Amanna N

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.

9/13/02

Suite 600

Washington, D.C. 20005-3934

(202) 371-2600

SKGF_DC1:48858.3

2817\$

Sterne Kessler Goldstein Fox

TP EATTORNEYS AT LAW

SEP 1 3 2002

Robert Greene Sterne Edward J. Kessler Jorge A. Goldstein David K.S. Cornwell Robert W. Esmord Tracy-Gene G. Durkin Michael B. Ray Robert E. Sokohl Eric K. Steffe Michael Q. Lee Steven R. Ludwig John M. Covert Linda E. Alcorn Robert C. Millonig Lawrence B. Bugaisky Donald J. Featherston Michael V. Messinger

Judith U, Kim
Timothy J. Shea, Jr.
Patrick E. Garrett
Jeffey T. HelveyHeidi L. Kraus
Crsstal D. Sayles
Edward W. Yee
Albert L. Ferro*
Donald R. Banowit
Peter A. Jackman
Molly A. McCall
Jeffey S. Weaver
Kendrick P. Patterson
Vincent L. Capuano
Albert J. Fasulo II*
Eldora Ellison Floyd
W. Russell Swindell

Thomas C. Fiala Brian I. Del Buono Virgil Lee Beaston' Reginald D. Lucas' Kimberly N. Reddick Theodore A. Wood Elizabeth J. Haanes Bruce E. Chalker Joseph S. Ostroff Frank R. Cottingham' Christine M. Undier Rae Lynn Prengaman' Jane Shershenovich' Lawrence I. Carroll' George S. Bardmesser Registered Patent Agents*
Karen R. Markowicz
Andrea J. Kamage
Nancy J. Leith
Joseph M. Conrad III
Ann E. Summerfield
Helene C. Carlson
Gaby L. Longsworth
Matthew J. Dowd
Aaron L. Schwartz
Angelique G. Uy
Boris A. Matvenko
Mary B. Ijung
Katrina Y. Pel
Bryan L. Skelton
Jason D. Eisenberg

<u>Senior Counsel</u> Samuel L. Fox Kenneth C. Bass III Lisa A. Dunner

*Admitted only in Maryland *Admitted only in Virginia *Admitted only in Texas *Practice Limited to Federal Agencies

September 13, 2002

WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER: (202) 371-2675 INTERNET ADDRESS: JHELVEY@SKGF.COM

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Re:

U.S. Design Patent Application

Appl. No. 10/028,781; Filed: December 28, 2001

Inventor(s): FALLAHI et al. For: IP Telephone System

Our Ref: 1875.1270001

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Sir:

Submitted herewith for appropriate action by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office are the following documents:

1. Fee Transmittal Form (PTO/SB/17);

2. Petition to Make Special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d);

3: **FIVE** (5) Documents;

4. Our Check No. 36654 for \$130.00 to cover the Petition to Make Special fee; and

5. One (1) Return postcard.

It is respectfully requested that the attached postcard be stamped with the date of filing of these documents, and that the postcard be returned to our courier.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036. If extensions of time under 37 C.F.R.

Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox PLLC.: 1100 New York Avenue, NW: Washington, DC 20005: 202.371.2600 f 202.371.2540: www.skqf.com

Commissioner for Patents September 13, 2002 Page 2

§ 1.136 are required to prevent abandonment of the present patent application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned, and any fees therefore are hereby authorized to be charged to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Jeffrey T. Helvey

Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 44,757

::ODMA\MHODMA\SKGF_DC1;55511;1 SKGF Rev. 2/15/02 dcw

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE officetion of information unless it displays a valid OMB control purpose. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to

SEP 1 3 2002 FRANCE!

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2002

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT \$130.00

Comp	olete if Known				
Application Number	10/028,781				
Filing Date	December 28, 2001				
First Named Inventor	FALLAHI et al.				
Examiner Name	To Be Assigned				
Group Art Unit	2817				
Attorney Docket No.	1875.1270001				

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)							
1. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any overpayment to:	3. ADDIT Large			Entity				
Deposit Account Number 19-0036	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee D	escription	Fee paid	
Deposit Account Name Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C.	105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing f	ee or oath		
⊠	127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisiona	I filing fee or cover shee	et	
Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR §§ 1.16 and 1.17		130	139	130	Non-English specifi			
Applicant claims small entity status See 37 CFR 1.27	147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination		nation	
See 37 CFR 1.27	112	920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of action	of SIR prior to Examine	er	
2. Payment Enclosed:	113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of	of SIR after Examiner a	action	
Check Credit card Money Order Other* *Charge any deficiencies or credit any overpayments in the fees or fee calculations of Parts 1, 2 and 3 below to Deposit Account No. 19-0036.	115	110	215	55	Extension for reply w			
FEE CALCULATION	116	400	216	200	Extension for reply w	ithin second month		
1. BASIC FILING FEE	117	920	217	460	Extension for reply w	ithin third month		
Large Entity Small Entity	118	1,440	218	720	Extension for reply w	ithin fourth month		
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee Paid Code (\$) Code (\$)	128	1,960	228	980	Extension for reply w	ithin fifth month		
101 740 201 370 Utility filing fee	119	320	219	160	Notice of Appeal			
106 330 206 165 Design filing fee	120	320	220	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal			
107 510 207 255 Plant filing fee	121	280	221	140	Request for oral hear			
108 740 208 370 Reissue filing fee	138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a	ing		
114 160 214 80 Provisional filing fee	_ 140	110	240	55	Petition to revive - un	avoidable		
	141	1,280	241	640	Petition to revive - un			
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)	142	1,280	242	640	Utility issue fee (or re			
	143	460	243	230	Design issue fee			
	144	620	244	310	Plant issue fee			
	122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Comm	nissioner	\$130.00	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES Fee from Extra below Fee Paid	123	130	123	130	Petitions related to provisional applications		ons	
Total Claims <u>33</u> - 20** = X =	126	180	126	180	Submission of Inform	ation Disclosure St	mt	
Indep. Claims <u>5</u> - 3** = X =	581	40	481	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)			
Multiple Dependent =	146	740	246	370	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))			
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	149	740	249	370	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))			
Code (\$) Code (\$) 103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20	179	740	279	370	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)			
102 84 202 42 Independent claims in excess of 3 104 280 204 140 Multiple dependent claim	169	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application			
108 84 209 42 **Reissue independent claims over original	Other fee (specify):					 	
patent 110 18 210 9 "Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	Other fee (:	Other fee (specify):						
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)	*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid					(3) (6) 420 0	<u> </u>	
** or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see above SUBMITTED BY					SUBTOTAL			
		/ Booletestian No.			44.757	Complete (if applicable)		
Name (<i>Print/Type</i>) Jeffrey T. Helvey Signature	/	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)			44,757	Telephone	202-371-2600	
/ All thelies						Date	913102	